arXiv:1610.08569v1 [quant-ph] 26 Oct 2016

Relativistic dipole interaction and the topological nature for induced HMW and AC phases

Xiao-Gang He[1,2,3*], Bruce NcKellar[4†]

[1]*INPAC, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China.*
[2]*Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan.*
[3]*Physics Division, National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan.*
[4]*ARC Center of Excellence for Particle Physics at the Terrascale (CoEPP), School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia.*

(Dated: October 28, 2016)

Abstract

In this work we construct relativistic Lagrangian density for the AC and HMW topological phases by induced electric and magnetic dipoles and clarify some of the conditions for producing topological phases which have not been properly discussed in previous studies. We also found that in both cases, the topological phases are induced by the cross product of electric and magnetic fields in the form $\boldsymbol{B} \times \boldsymbol{E}$ which reinforces the dual nature of these two topological phases.

* Electronic address: hexg@phys.ntu.edu.tw
† Electronic address: bhjmckellar@mac.com

Topological phase is one of the most important aspects of quantum mechanics which distinguish itself from classical mechanics. Some of the most important examples come from quantum mechanical electromagnetic interactions. There are three quantum mechanical electromagnetic topological phases, the Aharonov-Bohm (AB) phase[1], the Aharonov-Casher (AC) Phase[2] and the He-McKellar-Wilkens (HMW) phase[3–5], which have been experimentally verified. These phases reside in the phase factor of the wave function. A common feature of the topological nature of these phases is that when a particle carrying a charge travels through regions where, in classical sense, no forces act on the particle, but when it encircles a closed path which contains certain fields configurations (FC) where the particle does not enter, the wave function develops a non-trivial phase independent of particular path travelled as long as it encloses the given FC[6]. In the AB case, the charge is the electric charge and the FC is magnetic flux. In AC and HWM cases, the charges are magnetic dipole and electric dipole and the FCs are field configurations in which the vector product of the magnetic or electric dipole and the electric or magnetic field has a non vanishing curl. These non-trivial phases cause interferences effects which are observed experimentally, the topological AB phase by Chambers[7] and by Tonomura *et al.*[8], the topological AC phase by Cimmino *et al*[9] and the Toulouse group[10], and the topological HMW effect by the Toulouse group[11], and the Tokyo Atom Interferometry Group[12] by using induced electric dipole moving in a magnetic field so that actual appearance of magnetic monopole can be avoided[13]. Recent reviews of electromagnetic topological phases have been given by BMcK in ref. [14] and ref [15].

A crucial ingredient in identifying a topological phase is to analyze the relevant Hamiltonian governing the motion of a particle to see if there are certain configurations so that there is a term (terms) H_{top} which exhibits the feature that they do not exert force on the particle and therefore can be transformed into the wave function producing a phase factor $e^{-iH_{top}\Delta t}$. When the particle is traveling a closed path taking a time T, one integrates the phase factor $\int_0^T H_{top}dt$. One can translate this into an integral along the path, $\oint_{\mathcal{C}} \boldsymbol{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{dr}$. One then checks to see if this integral has a value independent of the path travelled. In order to have a topological phase certain conditions have to be satisfied. Without a clear understanding of the conditions one may make false interpretations of the observation.

The Aharonov-Bohm phase is always topological in its nature as long as a charged particle enclosed some magnetic flux. For the AC and HMW phases topological phases can develop only under certain circumstances. Essential conditions are that there is translational symmetry in one direction, and that the dipole mo-

ment in question is normal to both the direction of motion and the field with which it interacts. That is that there are constraints for the configuration of electromagnetic fields which the dipole is traveling through. In the following we clarify some of the conditions which have not been accurately discussed for the induced dipoles for AC and HMW phases. We will first discuss the HMW phase conditions, and then discuss the case of AC phase.

The He-McKellar[3, 16] derivation of the HMW phase of an intrinsic electric dipole $\boldsymbol{d}$ moving in a magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B}$ with a velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$, shows that the phase is given by

$$\chi_{HMW} \;=\; \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \boldsymbol{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{dr}\;, \quad \text{with } \boldsymbol{T}=\boldsymbol{B}\times\boldsymbol{d}\;. \tag{1}$$

In this derivation $\boldsymbol{B}\times\boldsymbol{d}$ is on the plane normal to $\boldsymbol{d}$, so the situation has essentially two spatial dimensions. For a constant $\boldsymbol{d}$

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times(\boldsymbol{B}\times\boldsymbol{d}) = -\left(\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}+(\boldsymbol{d}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\boldsymbol{B}\right). \tag{2}$$

If we have monopoles as a source of $\boldsymbol{B}$ there will be a non-vanishing curl of $\boldsymbol{B}\times\boldsymbol{d}$, but it is also possible to have a non-vanishing curl in a suitably shaped magnetic field, as discussed by McKellar, He and Klein[6].

When the electric dipole is induced by an electric field $\boldsymbol{E}$, $\boldsymbol{d}=\alpha\boldsymbol{E}$, where α is the electric polarisability of the particle. This suggests that we should set $\boldsymbol{T}=\alpha\boldsymbol{B}\times\boldsymbol{E}$, which has the wonderful advantage that

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times(\boldsymbol{B}\times\boldsymbol{E}]) = (\boldsymbol{B}\,\mathbf{div}\,\boldsymbol{E}-\boldsymbol{E}\,\mathbf{div}\,\boldsymbol{B}+(\boldsymbol{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\boldsymbol{E}-(\boldsymbol{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\boldsymbol{B})\;, \tag{3}$$

so that div$\boldsymbol{E}\neq 0$ in the excluded region can give $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\times(\boldsymbol{B}\times[\alpha\boldsymbol{E}])\neq 0$ in that region, and we can have a topological phase without the magnetic monopoles in the original HWM papers.

The analysis should be based on induced dipoles from the start, which is what Wei, Han and Wei actually did[13]. They based their analysis, as did Wilkens[4], on the effective electric field seen by a particle moving in an magnetic field — called the Röntgen field $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}$, which is added to the laboratory electric field $\boldsymbol{E}$ to obtain the electric field $\boldsymbol{E}_0=(\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B})$ experienced by the dipole in its rest frame. If the polarisability of the atom is α its electric dipole moment is

$$\mathbf{d}=\alpha(\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B})\;. \tag{4}$$

The Lagrangian is then

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})^2 \ . \tag{5}$$

The Wei, Han, and Wei form of the HMW phase is obtained by neglecting terms $\alpha\mathbf{E}^2$ and $\alpha\mathbf{B}^2$, and for $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ the Schrödinger equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{2m}\left(-i\nabla - \alpha(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{E})\right)^2 \psi = 0 \ , \tag{6}$$

which can be transformed to the field free Schrödinger by a phase transformation with the phase

$$\chi_{WHW} = \alpha \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{ds} \ , \tag{7}$$

just as was conjectured above on intuitive grounds. This is a topological phase if

$$\text{curl}\ (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{B}\ \text{div}\,\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}\ \text{div}\,\mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{E} - (\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} \ ,$$

vanishes in the interference region and is non-zero in the excluded region. Now electric charges can generate the topological phase. Inducing the electric dipole removes the link to magnetic monopoles, and it has the additional condition that $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. So far we have not specified any condition on the electric field. Our previous relativistic analysis[3] suggests that the electric field should also be normal to the velocity. Why has this not come out in the analysis of[4, 13] Wei, Han, and Wei?

In an attempt to answer this question, it would seem to be more reliable to obtain the relativistic corrections by taking the low velocity limit of a fully relativistic theory, rather than trying to introduce the corrections into the non-relativistic result. That is the approach we now adopt.

Minkowski[17] is the standard reference for the relativistic treatment of materials. There are accessible accounts in Pauli[18] and Møller[19]. Minkowski's proposal is that the relativistic version of $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ is the tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ obtained by replacing $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ in $F_{\mu\nu}$ by $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{H}$. The Lagrangian density is then $-G_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ (up to some constant factor).

As (in Heaviside-Lorentz units)

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} \ , \ \ \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{M} \ ,$$

we should introduce a tensor (which Becker and Sauter[20] call the moments tensor) $K_{\mu\nu}$ constructed from $F_{\mu\nu}$ by replacing $\mathbf{E}$ with $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ with -$\mathbf{M}$. A moments tensor

can be constructed from the electric and magnetic polarisation density of material bodies or the electric and magnetic dipole moments of individual atoms. We will use $K_{\mu\nu}$ as the moments tensor of atoms. This clearly shows that in relativity electric and magnetic moments get mixed up. This is nicely explained (with examples) by Becker and Sauter,

For now we will ignore intrinsic moments which are proportional to the spin of the particle, and only consider induced moments, which are proportional to the applied fields. We have to get to the generalisation of $\mathbf{P} = \alpha\mathbf{E}$, and $\mathbf{M} = \chi\mathbf{B}$, which hold in the rest frame of the material. Following Minkowski we write

$$u^\mu K_{\mu\nu} = \alpha u^\mu F_{\mu\nu} \qquad \text{and} \qquad u^\mu \tilde{K}_{\mu\nu} = \chi u^\mu \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}.$$

which is identical to the above in the rest frame, and is a tensor, so it is the correct generalisation. α is the electric polarisability and χ is the magnetic susceptibility. Here u_μ is the four velocity.

In the rest frame the electric and magnetic fields are the spatial components of

$$E^\mu = u_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad B^\mu = u_\nu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{8}$$

and, in the sense that qE^μ and gB^μ are the Minkowski four-force on a test charge q and a test magnetic monopole g in a frame in which they are moving with four-velocity u^μ, E^μ and B^μ are appropriate relativistic generalisations of $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ respectively. In particular qE^μ is simply the Minkowski four-force version of the Lorentz force on the charged particle. Its spatial component $q\gamma(\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B})$ is the Lorentz force on the charge, and its time component $q\gamma \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}$ is the work done on the charged particle. Here $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2}$.

Similarly

$$P^\mu = u_\nu K^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad M^\mu = u_\nu \tilde{K}^{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{9}$$

are appropriate relativistic generalisations of $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ respectively.

Following Minkowski we write

$$P^\mu = \alpha E^\mu \qquad \text{and} \qquad M^\mu = \chi B^\mu \,, \tag{10}$$

as the relationship between the induced electric moment and the electric field and the induced magnetic moment and the magnetic field. In the rest frame they are identical to the non-relativistic equations, and are tensor equations, so they are the correct generalisation, at least in the simplified situation that the polarisability and

the susceptibility are scalars. Pauli's discussion (in §34) suggests that this is an adequate approximation, and we will use it.

Møller shows how to construct $K_{\mu\nu}$ from P^μ and M^μ, and hence the electromagnetic field. The result is

$$K_{\mu\nu} = \alpha \left\{ u_\mu E_\nu - u_\nu E_\mu \right\} + \chi \epsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} B^\kappa u^\lambda. \tag{11}$$

Consider only the induced electric dipole moment, and set $\chi = 0$. Then with the electric 4-vector

$$E^\mu \; = \; \gamma \left(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \right)) \;\; , \;\; K_{\mu\nu} = \alpha \left\{ u_\mu E_\nu - u_\nu E_\mu \right\}, \tag{12}$$

the interaction Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = -\frac{1}{4} K^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha E_\mu E^\mu \quad = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \gamma^2 \left\{ (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})^2 - (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 \right\} \; . \tag{13}$$

We find that, keeping only those terms of order v^2 which introduce new interactions, the correct non-relativistic reduction of the interaction Lagrangian of an electrically polarisable moving neutral atom in electric and magnetic fields is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \left\{ (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})^2 - (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 \right\} \; . \tag{14}$$

The term $(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2$ was omitted by Wei, Han and Wei[13], but, as long as $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, the phase is still topological.

We now have the condition that the particle velocity is normal to both of the electric and magnetic fields, re-establishing the planar geometry.

The above results can also be obtained by first constructing the Lagrangian density of an electric dipole interaction with electromagnetic fields and then taking the electric dipole interaction to be induced by the electromagnetic fields applied.

In the rest frame, the Lagrangian density of an electric dipole $\boldsymbol{d}$ is proportional to its spin and therefore $\boldsymbol{d'} = d\boldsymbol{s'}$ and electric field $\boldsymbol{E'}$ is given by $\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = d\boldsymbol{s'} \cdot \boldsymbol{E'}$. Using the known transformation properties of a four spin vector in the moving frame $s_\alpha = (\gamma \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{s'}, \boldsymbol{s'} + (\gamma^2/(1+\gamma))(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{s'})\boldsymbol{v})$, the Lagrangian density can be written as $du_\mu s_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = -ds_\mu E^\mu$, one obtains

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = d\gamma \boldsymbol{s} \cdot (\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{E})) \; . \tag{15}$$

The middle term induces the HMW phase.

To use the above equation for induced electric dipole, one just needs to identify the corresponding dipole density operator. In the rest frame, the induced electric dipole is given by $\boldsymbol{d'}$ is proportional to $\alpha \boldsymbol{E'}$ and the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = (1/2)\alpha \boldsymbol{E'} \cdot \boldsymbol{E'}$. Therefore, in the rest frame the induced electric dipole is identified as $d\boldsymbol{s'} = \alpha \boldsymbol{E'}$. Using $\boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{s'} + (\gamma^2/(1+\gamma))(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{s'})\boldsymbol{v}$ and then expressing $\boldsymbol{s'}$ in terms of $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$, one obtains $d\boldsymbol{s} = \alpha\gamma(\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B})$. Finally inserting this expression into eq.15, we obtain the interaction Lagrangian density as that given in eq.14.

Replacing $\boldsymbol{E}$ by $\boldsymbol{B}$, $\boldsymbol{B}$ by $-\boldsymbol{E}$ and α by χ, one obtains the the interaction Lagrangian density for the AC phase[2]. Therefore in the AC phase case, the condition that the particle velocity is also normal to both of the electric and magnetic fields. The geometry is again the planar geometry.

Note that in the induced electric dipole and magnetic dipole interaction with electromagnetic fields, the AC and HMW phases are all generated by $\boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{B}$, this reinforces the dual nature of AC and HMW phases.

Acknowledgements

XGH was supported in part by MOE Academic Excellent Program (Grant No. 102R891505), NCTS and MOST of ROC (Grant No. MOST104-2112-M-002-015-MY3), and in part by NSFC (Grant Nos. 11175115) and Shanghai Science and Technology Commission (Grant No. 15DZ2272100) of PRC. B.H.J.M was supported in part by by the Australian Research Council Grant to the ARC Centre of Excellence for Particle Physics at the Terascale (CoEPP). B.H.J. M thanks NTU and NCTS for hospitality where this work was done.

---

[1] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Phys. Rev 115, 485-491 (1959). W. Ehrenberg and R.E. Siday, Proc. Phys. Soc. B 62, 8- 20(1949).

[2] Y. Aharonov and A. Casher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 319-321 (1984).

[3] X.-G. He and B. H. J. McKellar, Phys. Rev. A 47, 3424 (1993).

[4] M. Wilkens, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 5 (1994).

[5] J. Dowling, C. Williams and J. Franson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2486(1999).

[6] B. H. J. McKellar, X-G. He and A. G. Klein, AIP Conference Proceedings 1588, 59 (2014).

[7] G. Chambers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 5, 3(1960).

[8] A. Tonomura, N. Oskabe, T. Matsuda, T. Kawasaki and J. Endo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 297(1986).
[9] A. Cimmino, G.I. Opat, A.G. Klein, H. Kaiser, S.A. Werner, M. Arif and R. Cothier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 380 (1989).
[10] J. Gillot, S. Lepoutre and A. Gauguet, Eur. Phys. J. D, 68, 168(2014).
[11] S. Lepoutre, A. Gauguet, G. Trnec, M. Buechner and J. Vigué, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 120404 (2012); J. Gillot, S. Lepoutre, A. Gauguet, M. Buechner and J. Vigué, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 030401(2013); S. Lepoutre, A. Gauguet, M. Buechner and J. Vigué, Phys. Rev. A88, 043627 (2013); S. Lepoutre, J. Gillot, A. Gauguet, M. Buechner and J. Vigué, Phys. Rev. A88, 043628.
[12] T. Kumiya, A. Akentyev, Y. Mori, J. Ichimura and A. Morinaga, Phys. Rev. A 93, 023637(2016).
[13] H. Wei, R. Han, and X. Wei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2071 (1995).
[14] B. H. J. Mckellar, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **31**, 1630004 (2016).
[15] B. H. J. McKellar, The Universe **2**, no. 4, 6 (2014).
[16] X.-G. He and B. H. J. McKellar, Phys. Lett. B 256, 250-254 (1991); X.-G. He and B. H. J. McKellar, Phys. Rev. A, 64, 022012 (2001); X.-G. He and B. H. J. McKellar, Phys. Lett. B 559, 263-269 (2003).
[17] H. Minkowski *Gött. Nachr.*, p53 (1908)
[18] W. Pauli *Theory of Relativity*, Dover reprint 1981 see §33
[19] C. Møller *The Theory of Relativity* Oxford 1957
[20] R. Becker and F. Sauter, *Electromagnetic Fields and Interactions*, Vol 1 Chapter E III. Blackie 1964